Quality of tuberculosis care by Indian pharmacies: Mystery clients offer new insights

ABSTRACT

For many patients in India, pharmacies are their first point of contact, where most drugs, including antibiotics, can be purchased over-the-counter (OTC). Recent standardised (simulated) patient studies, covering four Indian cities, provide new insights on how Indian pharmacies manage patients with suspected or known tuberculosis. Correct management of the simulated patients ranged from 13% to 62%, increasing with the certainty of the TB diagnosis. Antibiotics were frequently dispensed OTC to patients, with 16% to 37% receiving such drugs across the cases. On a positive note, these studies showed that no pharmacy dispensed first-line anti-TB drugs. Engagement of pharmacies is important to not only improve TB detection and care, but also limit the abuse of antibiotics.

Tuberculosis (TB) is the world’s top infectious disease killer, accounting for an estimated 1.8 million deaths in 2015 [1]. Over a quarter of those deaths were in India, which carries the largest burden of the disease, with worryingly high rates of MDR-TB. Early symptoms of pulmonary TB are common, vague and persistent, leading infected individuals to seek care from a variety of local primary care providers [2]. A study of patient pathways to care in 13 countries showed that nearly 60% of TB patients begin their care seeking in the private or informal sectors, including pharmacies [3].

Pharmacies are highly accessible in India due to their vast numbers (around 800,000 nationally) [4], long opening hours and absence of user fees [5]. For many patients, pharmacies may be their first point of contact, where most drugs, including antibiotics, can be purchased over-the-counter (OTC).

What exactly do pharmacists do when they encounter patients with suspected TB, or confirmed TB? We now have reliable, consistent data from two standardised (simulated) patient studies, across four Indian cities, on how Indian pharmacies manage such patients [6,7]. Standardised patients (SPs), also called mystery clients, are widely considered to be the gold standard when it comes to measurement of actual practice [8], and SPs have been effectively used to assess quality of TB care in India, Kenya and China [9–11].

Together, the two SP studies of pharmacies in India presented three different presentations of TB to multiple pharmacies (Table 1). Management of the patients was benchmarked against guidelines for pharmacists from the Government of India and the Indian Pharmaceutical Association [12]. Referral to a healthcare provider without selling antibiotics or steroids was deemed ‘correct’ management (Table 1). Correct management that is evidence-based is a core element of quality of care. There are, of course, other elements such as user experience and patient outcomes. But these were not captured in the SP studies.

Fig. 1 shows that correct management of the SPs ranged from 13% to 62%, increasing with the certainty of the TB diagnosis. Those presenting with non-specific symptoms of cough and fever, consistent with many differential diagnoses, were correctly managed only in 13% of the SP interactions. However, the suggestion of TB, due to close contact with a relative with TB, increased this figure to 45%. Further still, a confirmed positive sputum test ensured that almost two-thirds of SPs were managed correctly.

Antibiotics were frequently dispensed OTC to patients, with 16–37% receiving such drugs across the cases. However, three positive findings emerged. First, antibiotic use more than halved when SPs explained they had been in contact with an infected individual or had a confirmed diagnosis, compared to those only presenting symptoms suggestive of TB. Second, the use of restricted Schedule H1 medicines was low in all cities. Third, out of 1533 SP encounters across 4 cities, not a single pharmacy dispensed first-line anti-TB medications without a prescription.

Successful TB control efforts hinge on early diagnosis and appropriate treatment. The results of these recent SP studies are consistent across 4 cities, and show that urban pharmacists do a poor job of asking patients with TB symptoms relevant questions, and referring them for TB testing. These practices likely delay TB diagnosis. However, a pure profit motive whereby pharmacists want to sell drugs to patients at all costs is also a poor explanation of observed behavior. Management varied with the certainty of the diagnosis and pharmacists were willing to forego sales in favor of referring patients with a stronger suggestion of TB. Therefore, training pharmacy staff to recognise the symptoms of TB could improve on the current situation.

In terms of treatment, these studies demonstrate that pharmacists do not give OTC first-line anti-TB drugs and are likely not a major driver of drug resistant TB in the country. The limited use of H1 medicines (a restricted category of medicines, mainly comprising third and fourth generation
cephalosporins, carbapenems, newer fluoroquinolones and first- and second-line anti-tuberculosis drugs) also suggests that the introduction of this regulation has had an effect.

Historically, community pharmacists have not been engaged in national policy discussions around TB control. However, recent years have seen some progress in this area culminating in the memorandum of understanding between the Central TB Division and the Indian Pharmaceutical Association, the All India Organisation of Chemists and Druggists, the Pharmacy Council of India and the SEARPharm forum to "engage pharmacists in RNTCP for TB Care and Control in India". Over the past decade, there have been projects focused on engaging pharmacists in India [13]. But such public-private mix (PPM) partnerships are yet to reach scale [14]. In the most recent draft of the National Strategic Plan for Tuberculosis Elimination 2017–2025, there are no budgets for engaging retail pharmacists, but mapping of pharmacies and their engagement is surveillance and social mobilization is envisioned [15].

It is widely believed that knowledge may not be sufficient to ensure good pharmacy practice as public health goals may not necessarily align with the financial interests of private sector pharmacists [16,17]. Our findings suggest that this view needs to be nuanced: pharmacists do deviate from accepted norms when diagnosis is unknown or uncertain. But their behavior improves markedly when diagnoses are more apparent. And their deviations are tightly bound by implicit norms that restrict the use of anti-TB medicines and Schedule H1 antibiotics.

In conclusion, we need to think beyond traditional models that expect pharmacists to be able to recognize who may have TB and passively refer TB patients to the public sector [18]. Pharmacists can be engaged for a variety of TB services across the cascade of care, and private pharmacies have been actively engaged in novel PPM models in India that have dramatically increased private sector TB case notifications in the country and improved quality of TB care in the private sector [19]. In addition, a supportive and effective regulatory environment may be as important to help curb the OTC sale of unnecessary antibiotics, and decrease diagnostic delays for millions of TB patients.
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